



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/774,990

02/09/2004

Matthew J. Amatangelo

188082/US

9588

66083

7590

07/03/2008

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. c/o DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP  
370 SEVENTEENTH ST.  
SUITE 4700  
DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER

PATEL, SHAMBHAVI K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2128

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/774,990	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AMATANGELO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHAMBHAVI PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2128	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHAMBHAVI PATEL. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Tuttle. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Norton.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to withdraw the 35 U.S.C 112 rejection of the terms "evaluate node", "dynamic circuit" and "dynamic signal". Applicant's representative submitted that the term "near dynamic circuit" is genus of the term "near domino circuit". Examiner noted that the term "near dynamic circuit" is not recited nor defined in the specification, and stated that Applicant would have to provide a definition of the term and evidence supporting their statement.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kamini Shah/  
SPE AU 2128

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required